# LEGAL METHOD / REASONING AND WRITING MODEL EXAM



LAWSKOOL NEW ZEALAND

# **Table of Contents**

IRAC method of problem questions	2
Question ONE: Part A: Part B	5
Question ONE: Model Answer	
Part A: Part B:	
Question TWO:	12
Question TWO: Model Answer	12
Question THREE:	13
Question THREE: Model Answer	17
Question FOUR:	22
Question FOUR: Model Answer	
Question FIVE:	27
Question FIVE: Model Answer	32
Question SIX:	34
Question SIX: Model Answer	38

# **IRAC** method of problem questions

Issues - Specifically identify the issues that you are going to discuss.
 Relevant - Determine and define the law and legal rules that are relevant to the question and each of the issues that arise through the question.
 Application - Apply the legal rules you have identified to the facts of the question, one by one (this is the hard part).
 Conclusion - Put all of the pieces together in perspective. Remember who it is that you are writing the advice for – the exam will tell you right underneath the question they have asked you to answer.

Always remember to use your reading time wisely to **PLAN YOUR ANSWER** before writing. Jot it down on the first page of your exam booklet as clearly as you can – allocate about 5-8 minutes of your writing time to do this. Thinking about how you will answer the question, and planning how you will structure your answer to cover off each of the points the question is asking you ensures that your work will be easy to read, easy to follow and easier to give you points for your work. Remember that *less words are more* in a law exam. Show your marker that you can get straight to the point, as clearly as possible. If you run out of time to finish your exam you can indicate to the marker the plan in your answer booklet, at times you may be rewarded for doing so.

Remember that the **APPLICATION IS THE MOST IMPORTANT SECTION** of your answer and should take up the bulk of your time. Do not simply state the position of the law on the relevant issues; instead, apply it to the fact scenario, even if the situation is deliberately unclear as to lead to multiple results. Remember the actual conclusions you reach are often superfluous. Rather, your marker will be most interested in *how you arrived* at your conclusion.

## **Important Points to remember:**

- Before you start writing anything, allocate your exam time to each question based on the points value. Stick to these time frames, even if you run out of time to answer that question. If you don't, you might get 2 or 3 possible points from finishing that first question, but you will likely lose out on the 5-6 easy points to mark off when having to rush through your other answers. Be strategic with your time.
  - For instance, if your exam is 3 hours long, and you have 3 questions this is simple, you have an hour for each question.
  - For this practice exam, we have provided you with several questions, some of more point value than the others to illustrate the kinds of questions you might be asked pay attention to the point value given to each question and think of it as out of a total score of 100 marks. How much time would you spend on that question? What length of answer do you think they are asking of you?
  - We have given you some hints to help you determine how much work is required.
- Use plain English. Do not try to blow your marker away with verbosity or complicated jargon. The easier your writing is to mark, the better your marks will be. A short answer that gets straight to the point will always be better than a long rambling answer that doesn't even answer the question.

lawskool.co.nz © Page 3

- Try to write as clearly as you can if you know that your handwriting is hard to read, leave a line free between each line you write in your script. This simple task makes it is easier for your marker to read. A hard to read script often leads to bad marks.
- A few grammatical things to keep in mind:
  - Contractions are always a big no in exams. Use "can not" instead of "can't",
     "did not" instead of "didn't", "is not" instead of "isn't". Use of contractions
     can lead to a lesser mark.
  - There is never a good reason to use exclamation points in a law exam. All answers are persuasive at best, not definite. These are often unnecessary and looked upon poorly by markers.
  - Avoid bullet points if you need to break up your essay into sections, use headings as we have below. If, and only if, you desperately run out of time to finish this is the only acceptable time to use them.
  - o If you are not confident with the spelling of any terminology, practice these <u>before</u> your exam. No marker wants to read "parliment" instead of parliament or "statue" instead of statute. Brushing up on your spelling and grammar can be the difference between an A grade and a B grade. Give yourself the best opportunity to succeed by practicing.
- If at the end of your exam you have a few minutes free quickly skim over your work and spell check. As you go, either highlight or underline the case authorities you have used. This will help your marker see right from the outset that you have identified the correct authorities and that's a great start toward better marks especially if your sentence might not have been as clear as you intended.



# **Question ONE:**

Read the following statutory extract from the **Rodent Elimination Act 2007** (hypothetical) and address the following fact situations, titled Part A and Part B.

### **Relevant Extract**

"Rodent Elimination Act 2007" - Hypothetical

An act to control the pest population with the goal of total elimination from urbanised areas of New Zealand, to encourage agriculture, farming and the rejuvenation of natural flora and fauna.

3. [...]

(2) The sum of two dollars shall be paid for the tail of every rate, stoat, weasel, or other noxious animal delivered to an office of the Department of Conservation [...]

### Part A:

Duncan and Suzanne have started capturing wild rats and mice to breed them in captivity. The intention is to kill off the off spring and "harvest" the tails to exchange them for payment at the Department of Conservation. The Department is aware of their scheme to breed the animals deliberately and will not pay Duncan or Suzanne.

# What statutory arguments can be advanced?

1. To support Duncan and Suzanne's claims to the \$2.00 payable per tail produced to the department?

[6 Marks]

2. To support the Department of Conservations refusal to pay?

[6 Marks]

**소소소소** 

To order the complete version of the Lawskool Legal Method – Reasoning and Writing

Model Exam please visit www.lawskool.co.nz

lawskool.co.nz <sup>©</sup> Page 5