NZ CONTRACT LAW CASE NOTES



LAWSKOOL NEW ZEALAND

Contents

Attorney-General v Barker Bros Ltd [1976] 2 NZLR 495	3
Bisset v Wilkinson [1927] AC 177	5
Butler Machine Tool Co v Ex-cell-o Corp (England) Ltd [1979] 1 All ER 965	7
Carlill v Carbolic Smoke Ball Company [1893] 1 QB 256	8
Central London Property Trust Ltd v High Trees House Ltd [1947] KB 130	
(or the High Trees case)	10
Dick Bentley Productions v Harold Smith (Motors) [1965] 2 All ER 65	
Felthouse v Bindley (1862) 142 ER 1037	15
Foakes v Beer [1884] UKHL 1	16
Hadley v Baxendale [1854] EWHC J70	17
Hart v O'Connor [1985] UKPC 1	. 19
Jolly v Palmer [1985] 1 NZLR 658	. 22
NZ Shipping Co Ltd v A M Satterthwaite & Co Ltd [1974] UKPC 1	
Oscar Chess v Williams [1957] 1 WLR 370	. 25
Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain v Boots Cash Chemists [1953] 1 QB 401	26
Roscorla v Thomas (1842) 3 QB 234	28
Thornton v Shoe Lane Parking [1971] 2 QB 163	29
Young v Hunt [1984] 2 NZLR 80	. 31

Attorney-General v Barker Bros Ltd [1976] 2 NZLR 495

Source: Hard copy via your law library or electronically via a subscription service **Court details:** Court of Appeal of New Zealand

Procedural history: The case was on appeal to the Court of Appeal.

Facts:

- The crown leased an airstrip in Te Hapupu, Chatham Islands from Barker Bros for a term of 5 years, with the lease giving the crown a right of renewal.
- Clause 2 stated:
 - "The terms and conditions of any such renewal renewed lease shall be as agreed upon the parties at the time, but the [renewed] rent shall not be less than the amount payable hereunder".
- Unfortunately, the lease gave no explicit method to calculate the new rent if the lease was later renewed.
- However, clause 18 was an arbitration clause which stated:
 - "In the case of any difference or dispute arising as to any clause, matter or thing herein contained or implied, or by arising in any way in respect of this deed such difference or dispute shall be decided by ... [arbitration]".
- When the crown later tried to renew the lease, Barker Bros tried to substantially increase the rent from \$6,750 per year, to \$13,000, which the crown found was excessive and refused to pay.
- Barker Bros, then argued the lease had now ended, whilst the crown argued the dispute should be referred to arbitration, as per clause 18 of the lease agreement.
- As a result, the crown sought a declaration from the court to have the matter referred to arbitration, which Barker Bros defended (successfully) that the lease renewal clause lacked certainty i.e. a mechanism to set the new rent, making it an incomplete contract and thus legally unenforceable.
- The crown appealed.

Issue:

• The issue in the case regarded the legal concept of certainty regarding contract formation.

To order the complete version of the Lawskool Contract Law Case Notes please visit www.lawskool.co.nz